



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK**

**David A. O'Toole**  
Clerk of Clerk

**211 West Ferguson**  
Tyler, TX 75702

**October 29, 2021**

**Brian Andrew Carpenter**  
Buether Joe & Counselors, LLC  
1700 Pacific Avenue  
Suite 4750  
Dallas, TX 75201

**Grant E Kinsel**  
Perkins Coie LLP - Seattle  
1201 Third Avenue, 49th Floor  
Seattle, WA 98101-3099

**William Joseph Cornelius , Jr**  
Wilson Robertson & Cornelius PC  
One American Center  
909 ESE Loop 323, Suite 400  
P.O. Box 7339  
Tyler, TX 75711-7339

**Kevin B Collins**  
Covington & Burling - Washington  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

**Bijal V Vakil**  
White & Case, LLP - Palo Alto  
3000 El Camino Real  
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 900  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2109

**Di Zhang**

Perkins Coie LLP - San Diego  
11988 El Camino Real  
Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92130-3334

In re: LBS Innovations LLC v BP America Inc et al  
2:11-CV-407

Dear Counsel and Parties,

The above-mentioned case was previously assigned to Chief Judge Rodney Gilstrap.

Chief Judge Gilstrap informed me that it has been brought to his attention that, while the case was assigned to him, a trust in which his spouse has an interest held stock in Home Depot, JP Morgan, Microsoft, Target and Wal-Mart. The trust's ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted Chief Judge Gilstrap's decisions, if he in fact made any decisions, in this case. However, the trust's stock ownership may have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Chief Judge Gilstrap directed that I notify the parties.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Chief Judge Gilstrap's disclosures regarding this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response within the next 30 days. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Chief Judge Gilstrap.

Sincerely,

*David A. O'Toole*

---

David A. O'Toole  
Clerk of Court